

**ARIZONA GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT
HERITAGE DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

Animal Abstract

Element Code: AFCJB35020

Data Sensitivity: No

CLASSIFICATION, NOMENCLATURE, DESCRIPTION, RANGE

NAME: *Ptychocheilus lucius*

COMMON NAME: Colorado Pikeminnow; Colorado Squawfish; Colorado Salmon;
White Salmon

SYNONYMS:

FAMILY: Cyprinidae

AUTHOR, PLACE OF PUBLICATION: Girard, C. 1856, Proc. Acad. Nat. Science,
Philadelphia 8:209

TYPE LOCALITY: Colorado River

TYPE SPECIMEN:

TAXONOMIC UNIQUENESS: One of three species in the genus; only species in the
Colorado; relatively unchanged since the Miocene.

DESCRIPTION: Reports of specimens from Arizona claim the fish reached lengths of 1.8
m (6.0 ft.) and weights of 45.0 kg (100 lbs.) (Minckley 1973, Sublette et al. 1990). Sublette et
al. 1990 states that *Ptychocheilus lucius* in excess of 0.9 m (2.95 ft.) and 6.5 kg (14.3 lbs.) are
now rare.

"Body somewhat compressed dorso-ventrally. Head flattened and elongated. Mouth large,
nearly horizontal. Dorsal and anal fins almost always with nine rays. Dorsal fin far back,
originating behind insertion of pelvic fins. Scales small, embedded (especially on breast,
belly, and nape). Skin leathery in texture. Lateral line with 80 to 95 scales. Pharyngeal arches
delicate, the lower ramus elongated and slender; teeth fragile and elongated, 2, 5-4, 2.

Color olivaceous, darker above. Lower sides yellowish and belly whitened, especially
anteriorly. Young with a dark, wedge-shaped basicaudal spot, absent in adults" (Minckley
1973).

"Head: Long, flattened; HL/Sn L=2.7-3.6. SL/HL=3.5-4.3. Mouth large, terminal, the
maxillary extending to or beyond the middle of eye. HL/Or L=5.5-11.2. Interior of jaws with
acute skin-covered edge. Pharyngeal arch long and thin, dentition 2,5-4,2; teeth fragile. SN
L/Or L=1.7-4.8. Mandible with 14-23 pores. Branchiostegal rays 3.

Body: Elongate, subterete, slightly compressed. Average total length 450-600 mm (17.7-23.6 in.); maximum total length 1.8m (6.0 ft.). SL/Pre Dor L=1.6-2.2; SL/BD=4.5-6.0. Body scales small, sometimes missing or deeply embedded on the breast and abdomen. Lateral line strongly decurved, with 84-93 (80-95) scales. Scales above the lateral line 29 (27-30). Caudal peduncle thin; SL/Caud Ped D=12.5-12.9; BD/Caud Ped D=1.7-2.8 Vertebrae 48-49 (47-49).

Fins: Dorsal triangular, distal margin weakly falcate to almost straight; origin posterior to that of pelvics. Pectorals pointed. Pelvics ovate. Anal triangular. Caudal deeply forked, lobes pointed. Rays: Dorsal 9 (9-10); pectorals 16-17 (14-18); pelvics 9 (8-10); anal 9 (8-10); caudal 19" (Sublette et al. 1990).

AIDS TO IDENTIFICATION: Keys in Minckley (1973) and Sublette et al. (1990). Resembles species of genus *Gila* but can be distinguished by elongate body and snout; the maxilla extending to the orbit; and an acute skin-covered edge inside the jaws (Sublette et al. 1990).

ILLUSTRATIONS: B&W photo (Minckley 1973:120)
Color drawing (Page and Burr 1991)
Color photo (Rinne & Minckley 1991:32)
Line drawing (Sublette et al. 1990:172)
B&W photos (Sublette et al. 1990:173)

TOTAL RANGE: Formerly widespread in the Colorado River basin from Wyoming to Arizona and California. Now, native populations are restricted to the upper basin in Wyoming, Colorado, Utah and New Mexico in the Green, Yampa, White, Gunnison and Colorado Rivers (Maddux et al. 1993). Critical habitat was designated for Colorado pikeminnow (called Colorado Squawfish at the time) in the upper basin (Federal Register 59(54), March 21, 1994) effective April 20, 1994. No critical habitat was designated in Arizona.

RANGE WITHIN ARIZONA: Considered extirpated in Arizona (Miller and Lowe 1964; Minckley and Deacon 1968; Minckley 1973), Colorado pikeminnow are restricted to two "experimental, non-essential" reintroduced populations in Arizona (Maddux et al. 1993). Adult and juvenile pikeminnow have been captured in Lake Powell (Minckley 1973; Minckley and Carothers 1980; Miller et al. 1984), but not in the Arizona portion of the lake.

Fish have been experimentally stocked in the Salt River drainage (Cherry Creek, Canyon Creek, Salt River at Horseshoe Bend and Gleason Flat) and the Verde River drainage (Verde River from below Sullivan Lake to Beasley Flat, East Verde River, West Clear Creek, Fossil Creek, and Sycamore Creek [Yavapai County]). A rule was proposed to designate an "experimental, non-essential" population on the Lower Colorado River between Imperial and Parker dams (Federal Register 52(165), August 1987). That rule was never finalized.

Pikeminnow have also been held or reared in Arizona at Page Springs/Bubbling Ponds State Fish Hatcheries, Willow Beach National Fish Hatchery, ASU Research Park, and Palm Lake (Hassayampa River Preserve operated by The Nature Conservancy).

SPECIES BIOLOGY AND POPULATION TRENDS

BIOLOGY: Early *Ptychocheilus* likely developed riverine adaptations by the mid Pliocene (about 6 million years ago). They were the top predator of the Colorado River basin in the early 1900s. They are largely solitary other than during spawning or when crowded together during low water conditions. The species is potamodromous (migratory in freshwater), with adults capable of long distance migrations for spawning (Tyus 1986, 1991). There is some evidence of homing behavior in pikeminnow (Audet et al. 1985; Tyus 1985). May live 30 years or more. Mature fish are highly mobile while immatures are sedentary.

REPRODUCTION: Fish are sexually mature at 5-7 years of age and at least 40 cm (16in) in length. Tyus (1990) reported that the onset of the reproductive cycle is marked by the beginning of migration to spawning areas. Cues for onset of migration may be high spring flows, increasing water temperature, and possible chemical cues from inundated terrestrial habitats. Movements of 200 miles have been reported, and fidelity to spawning grounds has been observed. Pikeminnow may not spawn annually. Pikeminnow migrations were initiated at water temperatures between 19.4-20.0EC (67-68EF). Baseline flow spikes may also serve as spawning cues (Negler et al. 1988).

Colorado pikeminnow spawn following the peak runoff when water temperatures reach 17.8-25.0E C (64-77E F), the peak between 22.2-25.0EC (72-77EF) (Tyus 1990). Spawning or egg deposition usually takes place during decreasing flows during which time sediment transport is decreasing and temperature is increasing (Tyus and Karp 1989). Spawning may be concentrated in relatively small areas where large, deep pools, eddies, and submerged cobble, gravel, boulder and sand substrates were associated with the main channel (Tyus 1990). Fish gather in the deep pools or eddies where they rest, feed and prepare for spawning bouts. Females, followed by several males leave the pools for riffles or shallow runs where the spawning actually happens. They often return to the pool and the cycle is repeated. Hamman (1981) reported spawning behavior from raceways. Two or three males pursue a single female; as she slowly settles to the bottom with a male on each side, eggs are deposited followed by a release of sperm by the males (Sublette et al. 1990). The process may be repeated. Based on radiotelemetry data, fish may stage (rest) for hours to days in pools and eddies approximately 6.0 ft. (1.83 m) in depth, with water velocities of about 1 ft./sec. (Tyus 1990). Spawning was noted in nearby cobble/boulder bars approximately 3.0 ft. (0.91 m) in depth, with water velocities of about 1.9 ft./sec.. Fish returned to eddies/pools after 30 minutes to 3 hours and were presumably spent.

Eggs, varying in size from 1.5-2.0 mm (0.06-0.079 in.) hatch in 78-108 hours at 20.0EC (68.0EF) and 63 hours at 25.0EC (77.0EF) (Sublette et al 1990). Survival and percent hatch is

highest at 20.0EC (68.0EC); no hatching occurred at 5.0, 10.0 and 30.0EC (41.0, 50.0, and 86.0EF) (Marsh 1985). There is no parental care.

Spawning pikeminnow are known or suspected from the Yampa River canyon; Gray Canyon of the Green River; and two sites on the Colorado River (Black Rocks to Loma, Grand Junction to Clifton). Spawning is suspected from Labyrinth Canyon on the Green River and Cataract Canyon on the Colorado (Maddux et al. 1993). No spawning locations are known from Arizona.

Young may enter the drift as larvae and be transported long distances (perhaps 100 miles) before settling into nursery areas (Tyus and Haines 1991). Young-of-year, juveniles, and subadults have been noted in ephemeral backwater areas, with little or no current velocities, over silt and sand bottoms. Backwaters may be an important nursery area for young pikeminnow (Maddux et al. 1993). Young are highly mobile and may move among habitat types, but appear to seek out sites that provide the greatest warmth.

FOOD HABITS: Young pikeminnow may utilize crustaceans and aquatic diptera larvae. Aquatic and terrestrial insects make up the majority of the diet as fish exceed 50 mm (1.97 in.). Fishes predominate in the diets of squawfish larger than 100 mm (3.9 in.) (Minckley 1973). Condition of young fish entering winter periods may have a role in determining their overwinter survival. Low fat stores and poor condition may result in low overwinter survival of age-0 squawfish (Thompson 1989, Thompson et al. 1991).

HABITAT: Spawning, as described above, takes place over clean cobbles and rubble in relatively swift waters. Preferred temperatures for embryo development, juvenile growth, and adult spawning is from 20.0-26.0EC (68.0-78.8EF) (Berry 1988). Juveniles utilize slackwater, backwater, and side channel areas with low or no current velocity and silt/sand substrates. Larger individuals, greater than 200mm (7.9 in.) occur in turbid, deep, and strongly flowing waters (Sublette et al. 1990). Juveniles prefer total dissolved solid concentrations of 560-1,150 mg/l and avoid concentrations greater than 4,400 mg/l (Sublette et al. 1990). During floods, adults may move to flooded bottom lands where they may feed on terrestrial animals (Sublette et al. 1990).

Artificial habitats may have some utility for rearing young pikeminnow. Osmundson and Kaeding (1989) evaluated the use of gravel pits for grow-out of young pikeminnow. Growth in these gravel pits was related to density of fish and available forage. Survival was overall rather low. Habitat suitability curves have been developed for Colorado pikeminnow (Valdez et al. 1987). Clarkson et al. (1993) reported habitat preference for reintroduced pikeminnow in the Verde River, Arizona. Hendrickson (1993) discussed other aspects of Colorado pikeminnow reintroduction attempts in Arizona.

ELEVATION: Re-introduced on the Tonto National Forest at 1,960 ft. (598 m).

PLANT COMMUNITY: Aquatic

POPULATION TRENDS: Extirpated in Arizona except for reintroduced stock.

SPECIES PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT STATUS: LE,XN (USDI, FWS 1967)
STATE STATUS: 1A (AGFD SWAP 2012)
 [WSC, AGFD, WSCA in prep]
 [State Endangered AGFD, TNW 1988]
OTHER STATUS: No Forest Service Status (USDA, FS Region
 3 1999)
 [Forest Service Sensitive, USDA, FS Region
 3 1988]
 State Endangered, Group I (State of New
 Mexico 1975)
 Endangered, American Fisheries Society
 E, IUCN
 E, probably Extinct in the wild of Mexico
 (NORMA Oficial Mexicana NOM-
 059-SEMARNAT-2010)
 [Listed Endangered, Secretaría de Medio
 Ambiente 2000]
 [Listed Endangered Secretaría de Desarrollo
 Social 1994]
 Group 2 (NNDFW, NESL 2001, 2008)
 [Group 2 NNDFW, NESL 1994]

MANAGEMENT FACTORS: Interactions with nonnative fishes may be an important factor in the continued survival or success of reintroduced populations of Colorado pikeminnow. Creef et al. (1992), Hendrickson (1993), Brooks (1986), and AGFD (1988) all pointed to predatory interactions as an impediment to successful pikeminnow reintroduction. Channel catfish, smallmouth bass, and flathead catfish were identified as major predators in Arizona. Overlap and interactions with nonnative fishes such as red shiner, fathead minnow and green sunfish may result in reduced growth and survival of age-0 pikeminnow (Karp and Tyus 1990). Dams have blocked migration routes (Tyus 1991). Water temperature changes can be significant, as cold temperatures can inhibit embryonic development (Marsh 1985) and increase early life mortality (Kaeding and Osmundson 1988).

Threats: stream diversion; impoundment; reservoir operations; predation by and competition with nonnative fishes. **Management needs:** re-establish large pikeminnow in historical habitats; ameliorate impacts from nonnative predatory and competitive fish species; evaluate possibility of recreational use; maintain and restore select habitats within historical range.

PROTECTIVE MEASURES TAKEN: In Arizona, no critical habitat is designated. Reintroduction efforts are experimental nonessential. Outside Arizona, six reaches in the upper Colorado basin [totalling 1848 km (1148 miles)], have been designated as critical habitat.

SUGGESTED PROJECTS:

LAND MANAGEMENT/OWNERSHIP: U.S. Forest Service (Tonto, Prescott, and Coconino National Forests), Reclamation withdrawn, Tribal, State, and Private lands. Experimental nonessential populations have been introduced into Forest Service lands.

SOURCES OF FURTHER INFORMATION

REFERENCES:

- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 1988. Seven fish project performance report. Annual performance report to US Fish and Wildlife Service, Project E1, Arizona, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, Arizona. pp. 16.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 1988. Threatened Native Wildlife in Arizona. p.6.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. In prep. Wildlife of special concern in Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department Publication. Phoenix, Arizona. 32 pp.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 2012. Arizona's State Wildlife Action Plan 2012-2022. Phoenix, AZ.
- Audet, C., C.J. Fitzgerald and H. Guderly. 1985. Homing behavior noted for Colorado squawfish. *Copeia* 1985(1):213-215.
- Berry, C.R. Jr. 1988. Effects of cold shock on Colorado squawfish larvae. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 33(2):193-197.
- Brooks, J.E. 1986. Reintroduction and monitoring of Colorado squawfish (*Ptychocheilus lucius*) in Arizona, 1985. Report to Offices of Endangered Species and Fisheries Resources, USFWS, Albuquerque, New Mexico. pp. 15.
- Carney, D.A. and L.M. Page. 1990. Meristic characteristics and zoogeography of the genus *Ptychocheilus* (Teleostei: Cyprinidae). *Copeia* 1990(1):171-181.
- Clarkson, R.W., E.D. Creef and D.K. McGuinn-Robbins. 1993. Movements and habitat utilization of reintroduced razorback suckers (*Xyrauchen texanus*) and Colorado squawfish (*Ptychocheilus lucius*) in the Verde River, Arizona. Completion Report to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on Project E5-4, Job 7, Title VI of the Endangered Species Act. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix.
- Colorado River Fishes Recovery Team. 1991. Colorado squawfish revised recovery plan (Original approved March 16, 1978). Prepared for the USFWS, Region 6, Denver, Colorado. pp. 56.
- Creef, E.D., R.W. Clarkson, and D.K. McGuinn-Robbins. 1992. Razorback sucker (*Xyrauchen texanus*) and Colorado squawfish (*Ptychocheilus lucius*) reintroduction and monitoring, Salt and Verde rivers, Arizona 1991-1992. Endangered Species Act Section 6

- special report, Project E5-2. Submitted to USFWS, Endangered Species Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix. pp. 30.
- Hammon, R.L. 1981. Spawning and culture of Colorado squawfish in raceways. *Progressive Fish-Culturist* 43(4):173-177.
- Hendrickson, D.A. 1993. Evaluation of the razorback sucker (*Xyrauchen texanus*) and Colorado squawfish (*Ptychocheilus lucius*) reintroduction programs in central Arizona based on surveys of fish populations in the Salt and Verde rivers from 1986 to 1990. Endangered Species Act Section 6 special report, Project E5-2. Submitted to USFWS, Endangered Species Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix.
- Karp, C.A. and H.M. Tyus. 1990. Behavioral interactions between young Colorado squawfish and six fish species. *Copeia* 1990(1):25-34.
- Kaeding, L.R. and D.B. Osmundson. 1988. Interaction of slow growth and early-life mortality: an hypothesis on the decline of Colorado squawfish in the upstream portions of its historic range. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 22:287-298.
- Maddux, H.R., L.A. Fitzpatrick, and W.R. Noonan. 1993. Colorado River endangered fishes critical habitat-draft biological support document. USFWS, Utah/Colorado Field Office, Salt Lake City, Utah. pp. 225.
- Marsh, P.C. 1985. Effect of incubation temperature on survival of embryos of native Colorado River fishes. *Southwest Naturalist* 30(1):129-140.
- Minckley, C.O. and S.W. Carothers. 1980. Recent collection of Colorado squawfish and razorback sucker from the San Juan and Colorado Rivers in New Mexico and Arizona. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 24:686-687.
- Minckley, W.L. 1973. Fishes of Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, Arizona. pp. 119-125.
- Minckley, W.L. 1991. Native fishes of the Grand Canyon region: an obituary? Pages 124-177 in Colorado River ecology and dam management, proceedings of a symposium, May 24-25, 1990, Santa Fe, New Mexico. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. 2001. Version 1.6 . Arlington, Virginia, USA: NatureServe. Available: <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. (Accessed: November 27, 2002).
- Navajo Fish and Wildlife Department. 2008. Navajo Endangered Species List.
- Navajo Fish and Wildlife Department. 2001. Navajo Endangered Species List. p.2.
- Navajo Fish and Wildlife Department. 1994. Navajo Endangered Species List. p.2.
- Negler, T.P., R.T. Muth and A.F. Wasowicz. 1988. Evidence for baseline flow spikes as spawning cues for Colorado squawfish in the Yampa River, Colorado. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* 5:68-79.
- Nelson, S.M. and S.A. Flickinger. 1992. Salinity tolerance of Colorado squawfish *Ptychocheilus lucius* (Pices: Cyprinidae). *Hydrobiologia* 246:165-168.
- Osmundson, D.B. and L.R. Kaeding. 1989. Colorado squawfish and razorback sucker grow-out pond studies as part of conservation measures for the Green Mountain and Ruedi Reservoir water sales. Final report to US Bureau of Reclamation, Agreement No. 6-AA-60-001150. pp. 37.

- Page, L.M. and B.M. Burr. 1991. A field guide to freshwater fishes: North America, north of Mexico. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston. p. 68.
- Platania, S.P. 1990. Biological summary of the 1987 to 1989 New Mexico-Utah ichthyofaunal study of the San Juan River. Report prepared for the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish, Santa Fe, New Mexico. Contract No. 78-516.6-01 and US Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah.
- Rinne, J.N. and W.L. Minckley. 1991. Native fishes of arid lands: a dwindling resource of the desert southwest. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report RM-206. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colorado. pp. 31-32.
- Secretaría de Desarrollo Social. 1994. Diario Oficial de la Federacion. p.52.
- Secretaría de Medio Ambiente. 2000. Diario Oficial de la Federacion, PROY-NOM-059-ECOL-2000. p. 46.
- Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales. 2010. NORMA Oficial Mexicana NOM-059-SEMARNAT-2010, Protección ambiental-Especies nativas de México de flora y fauna silvestres-Categorías de riesgo y especificaciones para su inclusión, exclusión o cambio-Lista de especies en riesgo.
- State of New Mexico. 1975. Rule 1975-01-24.
- Sublette, J.E., M.D. Hatch, and M. Sublette. 1990. The fishes of New Mexico. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque. pp. 172-175.
- Thompson, J.M. 1989. The role of size, condition and lipid content in the overwinter survival of Age-0 Colorado squawfish. MS Thesis, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado. pp. 87.
- Thompson, J.M., E.P. Bergerson, C.A. Carlson and L.R. Kaeding. 1991. Role of size, condition, and lipid content in the overwinter survival of age-0 Colorado squawfish. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120:346-351.
- Tyus, H.M. 1986. Life strategies in the evolution of the Colorado squawfish (*Ptychocheilus lucius*). Great Basin Naturalist 46:656-661.
- Tyus, H.M. 1990. Potamodromy and reproduction of Colorado squawfish (*Ptychocheilus lucius*). Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 119:1,035-1,047.
- Tyus, H.M. 1991. Management of Colorado squawfish. Pages 379-402 in W.L. Minckley and J.E. Deacon, eds., Battle Against Extinction. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, Arizona.
- Tyus, H.M., B.D. Burdick, and C.W. McAda. 1984. Use of radio telemetry for obtaining habitat preference data on Colorado squawfish. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4:177-180.
- Tyus, H.M. and G.B. Haines. 1991. Distribution, habitat use, and growth of Age-0 Colorado squawfish in the Green River Basin, Colorado and Utah. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120:79-89.
- Tyus, H.M. and C.A. Karp. Habitat use and streamflow needs of rare and endangered fishes, Yampa River, Colorado. US Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 89(14). pp. 27.
- Tyus, H.M. and J.H. Williamson. 1990. Chemoreception, imprinting and propagation of Colorado squawfish and razorback sucker: a plan of study. Submitted to the Recovery and Implementation Program. pp. 15.

- USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 1988. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List.
 USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 1999. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List.
 USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1967. FR 32:4001.
 USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1985. FR 50(142):30188-30195.
 Valdez, R.A., P.B. Holden, T.B. Hardy, and R.J. Ryel. 1987. Habitat suitability curves for endangered fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin. Final report to USFWS Region 6, Office of Endangered Species, Contract No. 14-16-0006-86-055, Denver, Colorado.

MAJOR KNOWLEDGEABLE INDIVIDUALS:

- Robert W. Clarkson - U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, E-mail: rclarkson@lc.usbr.gov.
 James E. Deacon - University of Nevada at Las Vegas
 Dean A. Hendrickson - University of Texas, Austin
 Paul Holden - BIO/WEST, INC
 Charles O. Minckley - USDI. Fish and Wildlife Service, Parker, Arizona
 Harold M. Tyus
 Richard Valdez – SWCA, Flagstaff, Arizona

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Common name was officially changed (per American Fisheries Society standards) from Colorado Squawfish to Colorado Pikeminnow in 1999.

Revised: 1994-07-29 (LMR)
 1994-08-17 (MHH)
 1994-09-29 (RWC)
 1995-01-29 (KLY)
 2001-10-18 (SMS)
 2002-12-11 (RHB)

To the user of this abstract: you may use this entire abstract or any part of it. We do request, however, that if you make use of this abstract in plans, reports, publications, etc. that you credit the Arizona Game and Fish Department. Please use the following citation:

Arizona Game and Fish Department. 20XX (= **year of last revision as indicated at end of abstract**). X...X (= **taxon of animal or plant**). Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data Management System, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, AZ. X pp.